

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,609	07/31/2003	Laurakay Bruhn	10021296-1	5153	
7590 05/15/2007 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			EXAMINER		
Legal Departm	ent, DL429	LIN, JERRY			
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/633,609	BRUHN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jerry Lin	1631	

	Jerry Lin	1631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims:					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		maliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)				
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-13,17-19,34,49,50,56,57 and 59-65. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-16,20-33,35-4 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	8 <u>,51-53 and 58</u> .						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation.		n condition for allowar	nce because:				
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
•							

Applicants' proposed After Final Amendments to the claims introduce substantive changes that raise issues that require further search and/or consideration and therefore will not be entered. For example, claim 62, was amended to include the limitation of "remote from the location of the memory." This new limitation would require further consideration and/or search.

Claims 1-13, 46, 50, 56, 57, 59-63, and 65 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Stern.

The Applicants have responded to this rejection by stating that Stern does not teach a "sub-array". The Examiner disagrees. Stern states on Page 11, paragraph 98, that "a user may be presented by GUI's 2782 (under the control of GUI controller 2715) with a list of of microarrays included in array 2770 identified . . . by a graphical representation of the microarrays in an array configuration . . . ." In this instance, the microarrays make up a larger array. Thus the microarrays are the sub-arrays as defined by the Applicants. Therefore, Stern anticipates the instant claims.

Claims 1, 6, 12, 13, 17-19, 34, and 64 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C> 103(a) for reasons of record.

The Applicants have responded to this rejection by stating Stern does not teach a "sub-array." Please see the Examiner's response above.

JOHN S. BRUSCA, PH.D